

Identifying high influencing factors on innovative behaviour: a meta-analysis

Reviewer Affiliation

STMIK DCI

Manuscript ID:

MRR-10-2022-0727

Manuscript Type

Original Article

Keywords

creative self-efficacy, innovative behaviour, meta-analysis, psychological empowerment, transformational leadership, influencing factors

Field Categories

Knowledge and Innovation Management

Date Assigned:

13-Nov-2022

Date Review Returned:

25-Nov-2022

req 1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?

This research can display originality by conducting a comprehensive meta-analysis of previous research that reveals the relationship between several factors influencing innovative behavior. This research was also able to identify the moderator of the research

req 2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?

Our review of this paper shows a complete literature review regarding the variables to be examined, including Innovative behavior (IB), Psychological empowerment (PE), Creative self-efficacy (CSE), Transformative leadership (TL), as well as variables that moderate relationships between the dependent variable and the independent variable

req 3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?

the methodology used by the researcher shows robustness so that the validity and reliability of the research are sufficient

req 4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?

the discussion section requires some improvements to deepen the discussion of the results of the research conducted

req 5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is

the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?

Theoretical implications are not presented. If referring to the topic of research conducted to test empirical relationships, the author should make a theoretical contribution to the body of knowledge

req 6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the fields and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.

In general, this journal has been able to communicate well the ideas from the research carried out in a way that is easy to understand

Recommendation

Minor Revision

Confidential Comments to the Associate Editor-in-Chief

Comments to the Author - YOU MUST FILL THIS IN

This research has a novelty that can contribute to the body of knowledge

Files attached

[Reviewer Comments.docx](#) [PDF](#) [HTML](#) - This file is for the Author and Editor

Do you want to get recognition for this review on [Web of Science](https://clarivate.com/products/scientific-and-academic-research/research-discovery-and-workflow-solutions/researcher-profiles/)?

Yes

Author's Response

Files attached